

11/19/13

## Meeting Notes

Attending: William Cathcart, Stephen Hutchinson, Sally Johnson, Dorsey Henderson, Jr., Lawrence Hitt II, Diane Sammons, Thomas Little, Mark Duffy

Absent: Joan Geiszler-Ludlum (excused absence)

Minutes approved.

Funding updates. Diane and Mark discussed this last week. Archives has not yet secured full funding for the digitalization project. Now hoping to complete the next phase of the project by next February, although this will not be complete digitalization. Mark advised that he doesn't quite know how far the current funding will take the project. Has asked Council for a substantial new chunk of funding, \$460,000 (including the W&D portion). For digitalizing W & D and other projects. [Confusion about source of funding, how much, when, so get clarification from Mark for draft Minutes] Diane asked about future funding for the Subcommittee and the W&D project. Diane mentions yesterday's working group call. Sally mentions CPG is pulling back from anything not directly related to providing benefits and selling products. CPG has cut back on risk management resources. Tom and Sally will explore this in December.

Agenda item 5(A): This work group seems to be inactive.

5(B): Sally today posted an item on this, relating to the 1994-1997 Title IV changes. Discussion of the scope of each annotation; should we include rationale for the change, legislative history, what did not get amended, what was not acted upon, what passed one House but not the other - or a narrower focus? Discussion. Sally and Steve are working drafts that are different in this regard. Suggestion for a separate conference call to review samples and seek consensus on scope. December 4 at 4 PM EST.

Sally to contact ecky Snow.

No update from Commentary 2009 working group?

5(C): Case law subcommittee met yesterday. Bill will write to Chancellors list serve to invite submissions of files. Dorsey will make a similar effort in the House of Bishops.

5(D): Process for future annotations. Subcommittee met yesterday. There is a prior Memo for how to handle this, triennial review as part of SCCC process. Diane will go back to that Memo and revise it reflecting yesterday's discussions. Tom added that the canon might be worded differently to make it more likely the effort would be funded. Sally thinks this is not likely to be successful. Steve is more sanguine; revenues are up a bit and we now seem to have a better budgeting process at Council. Sally thinks TREC can talk about what is essential for TEC to do, and Tom can help TREC understand the critical importance of this effort and its significance for TEC governance, across dioceses and congregations. Steve notes that once we get W&D caught

up, the ongoing process should be relatively manageable. Mark discusses the “container” that will hold all of this data in a solid, safe accessible manner; we are not there yet. Mark reports that Archives has SCCC Title III materials from pre-1994 – but not Title IV materials.

Closing remarks

Next meeting January 21 at 4 PM EST.

Adjourned at 5:09 PM.

Minutes prepared by Tom Little.